Original Article

No association between *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* genetic polymorphisms and susceptibility to opium sap dependence

Khyber Saify, Mohammad Rashid Khalighinasab, Mostafa Saadat^{*}

Department of Biology, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71467-13565, Iran

ABSTRACT

Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs; EC: 2.5.1.18) are a ubiquitous family of eukarvotic and prokarvotic phase II metabolic isozymes. Genes encoding GSTM1 (OMIM: 138350), and GSTT1 (OMIM: 600436) are members of class mu and theta, respectively. The most common polymorphism in the GSTM1 is a deletion of the whole GSTM1 gene with a lack of enzyme activity. A homozygous deletion in the GSTT1 has also been reported (null genotypes of GSTT1). The aim of the present study was to investigate the association between GSTM1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms and risk of dependency to opium sap. The present study was performed in Shiraz (southern Iran). In total, 71 males dependent to opium sap and 590 healthy males (as a control group) were included in this study. The genotypes of GSTM1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms were determined by PCR. Our data indicate that neither GSTM1 (OR=0.78, 95% CI: 0.47-1.27, P=0.325) nor GSTT1 (OR=1.25, 95% CI: 0.70-2.21, P=0.442) null genotypes significantly associated with the risk of opium sap dependence. There is no additive effect of the null genotypes of GSTT1 and GSTM1 in relation to the risk of dependency to opium sap. The present study indicated that the null genotypes of GSTT1 and GSTM1 are not risk factor for opium sap dependence.

Keywords: GSTM1; GSTT1; Opium sap dependence; Polymorphism

INTRODUCTION

Family, adoption and twin studies have been clearly demonstrated that genetic factors are important in moderating vulnerability to drug-dependent disorders [1-4].

*Address for correspondence: Department of Biology, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71467-13565, Iran Tel: +98-71-36137432 Fax: +98-71-32280916

E. mail: <u>saadat@shirazu.ac.ir</u>, <u>msaadat41@vahoo.com</u>

Note: S. Saify and MR Khalighinasab have equal contributions.

MBRC

Genetic influences account for 30 to 70% of addiction vulnerability [2]. Opium sap is now one of the illicit drugs used in Iran. A better understanding of the etiology of opium sap dependence is crucial for improving the prevention and treatment of this type of drug dependence. Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs; EC: 2.5.1.18) are a ubiquitous family of eukaryotic and prokaryotic phase II metabolic isozymes. The GSTs are one of the key enzymes involved in cellular detoxification. Furthermore, recent evidence has been shown that the GSTs modulate the signaling pathways of cell proliferation, cell differentiation, and apoptosis [5]. Human GSTs divided into different classes and consisting 16 genes encoding cytosolic proteins and at least 6 genes expressing membrane-associated proteins. Genes encoding GSTM1 (OMIM: 138350), and GSTT1 (OMIM: 600436) are members of class mu and theta, respectively. The most common polymorphism in the GSTM1 is a deletion of the whole GSTM1 gene with a lack of enzyme activity [6]. A homozygous deletion in the *GSTT1* has also been reported (null genotypes of GSTT1) [7]. The association studies between these genetic polymorphisms and various multifactorial diseases were conducted [8-18]. Studies indicated that the GSTT1 and GSTM1 were expressed in brain [19, 20]. Very recently it has been reported that the mRNA levels of several antioxidant genes (including some of the GSTs family) were significantly downregulated in human SH-SY5Y cells exposed to morphine and/or methadone [21, 22]. The association studies between polymorphisms of GSTM1 and GSTT1 and risk of dependent to methamphetamine [23-25], heroin, and opium [26] have been reported, with inconsistent results. It has been reported that opium induced the oxidative stress [27, 28]. Taken together, it is hypothesized that the null genotypes of GSTT1 and GSTM1 might be associated with risk of dependency to opium sap. Considering that there is no any data on the association between the polymorphisms of GSTs family (including GSTT1 and GSTM1 polymorphisms) and risk of dependency to opium sap, the present study was carried out.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants: The present case-control study was performed in Shiraz (Fars province, southern Iran). In total, 71 males dependent to opium sap and 590 healthy male controls were included in this study. The patients were in methadone maintenance for treating their dependency and all of them reported opium sap as their primary drug of choice. All patients were assessed using the Structured Clinical Interview based on *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, fourth edition (DSM-IV) criteria for heroin and opium dependence. Moreover, urine drug screens were obtained. All patients were interviewed by a senior psychiatrist. Control individuals were blood donors, who declared that they did not suffer substance abuse. The mean age (SD) of the patients and the controls were 37.3 (10.2) and 36.1 (11.2) years, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference with regard to age (t=0.90, df=659, P=0.364) between the patients and the controls. As mentioned previously, Iranian gene pool is very heterogeneous [29, 30]. Therefore, we select the

MBRC

participants from Persians (Caucasians/Muslims) living in Fars province. Data on ethnicity were collected using simple questions like the parental and grandparental ethnicity (and also the religion) of each participant. Participants that their mothers and fathers (and also their grandparents) did not belong to Persians or they belong to non-Muslims religious communities were excluded. At the time of blood donation, a brief questionnaire that ascertained age, dependency to any drug, history of diagnosed cancers, cataract, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and asthma, and history of drug dependency in the first degree relatives was completed. Considering that the polymorphisms of *GSTT1* and *GSTM1* are associated with several multifactorial traits [8-18], the subjects of the both groups had negative history of cancers, cataract, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and asthma. This study was approved by the Shiraz University ethics committee and informed consent was obtained from each subject before the study. The work has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World medical association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments in humans.

Using the QUANTO (<u>http://biostats.usc.edu/software</u>) software, to detect a real difference in genotypic frequency with a power of 0.80, α =0.05, OR=1.50, 45% frequency of the minor allele (null allele of the *GSTT1*), and if the ratio of case/control be equal to 1/8; a minimum sample of 286 would be necessary.

Genotyping: Genomic DNA for PCR was isolated from whole blood using the thawed blood samples [31]. The PCR conditions and quality control for determining the genotypes of *GSTT1* and *GSTM1* polymorphisms were the same as that reported previously [10].

Statistical analysis: The association between the study polymorphisms and the risk of dependency to opium sap was assessed by calculating odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The reference group consisted of individuals with the positive genotypes. A probability of P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the genotypic frequency of the study polymorphisms between the patients and healthy controls. Statistical analysis revealed that the *GSTM1*-null genotype was not associated with the risk of dependency to opium sap (OR=0.78, 95% CI: 0.47-1.27, P=0.325). Also, there was no significant association between the null-genotypes of *GSTT1* and susceptibility to opium sap dependency (OR=1.25, 95% CI: 0.70-2.21, P=0.442) (Table 1). Previously, a significant association between *GSTT1* polymorphism and susceptibility to opium abuse has been reported, which is not confirming by the present data [26].

It has been showed that the null genotypes of *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* polymorphisms may have additive effect on the risk of multifactorial traits [10, 15, 17]. To investigate whether the null genotypes of *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* had additive effect on the risk of

dependency to opium sap, we considered the association between combinations of the genotypes and susceptibility to opium sap dependency. The reference group consisted of individuals with the "double positive genotypes of the *GSTM1* and *GSTT1*". Statistical analysis revealed that there was no significant association between combined genotypes and the risk of dependency to opium sap (Table 1). There was no linear trend in risk associated with zero, one and two null genotypes (χ^2 =0.07; P=0.788). Previously, significant associations between combined genotypes of the *GSTM1* and null genotype of the *GSTT1*" and susceptibility to heroin and opium were reported [26]. These findings indicating that dependency to opium, heroin and opium sap are not quite same traits.

Genotypes	Patien ts	Controls	OR	95%CI	Р
GSTM1 polymorphism					
Positive	37	271	1.0	-	-
Null	34	319	0.78	0.47-1.27	0.325
GSTT1 polymorphism					
Positive	53	464	1.0	-	-
Null	18	126	1.25	0.70-2.21	0.442
Combination genotypes GSTM1/GSTT1					
Positive/Positive	26	215	1.0	-	-
Positive/Null	11	56	1.62	0.75-3.48	0.213
Null/Positive	27	249	0.89	0.50-1.58	0.707
Null/Null	7	70	0.82	0.34-1.98	0.671

 Table1: Association between polymorphisms of GSTM1 and GSTT1 and risk of dependent to opium sap

Very recently it has been reported that antioxidant genes (including several GSTs) significantly were down-regulated in SH-SY5Y cells exposed to morphine and/or methadone [21, 22]. Considering that the null genotypes of GSTT1 and GSTM1 have no enzyme activity [6, 7], we hypothesized that these polymorphisms were associated with the risk of dependency to opium sap. However, this study did not support our hypothesis. In order to address the involvement of the polymorphisms of GSTT1 and GSTM1 on susceptibility to opium sap dependency replication of this study in other populations is recommended.

Acknowledgments: The authors are indebted to the participants for their close cooperation. This study was supported by Shiraz University.

Conflict of Interest: No competing interests are declared by any of the authors.

REFERENCES

^{1.} Agrawal A, Lynskey MT. Are there genetic influences on addiction: evidence from family, adoption and twin studies? Addiction 2008;103:1069-1081.

- 2. Gelernter J, Kranzler HR. Genetics of drug dependence. Dialogues Clin Neurosci 2010;12:77-84.
- 3. Sun Y, Meng S, Li J, Shi J, Lu L. Advances in genetic studies of substance abuse in China. Shanghai Arch Psychiatry 2013;25:199-211.
- 4. Ducci F, Goldman D. Genetic approaches to addiction: genes and alcohol. Addiction 2008;103:1414-1428.
- 5. Dusinska M, Staruchova M, Horska A, Smolkova B, Collins A, Bonassi S, Volkovova K. Are glutathione *S* transferases involved in DNA damage signalling? Interactions with DNA damage and repair revealed from molecular epidemiology studies. Mutat Res 2012;736:130-137.
- 6. Harada S, Misawa S, Nakamura T, Tanaka N, Ueno E, Nozoe M. Detection of *GST1* gene deletion by the polymerase chain reaction and its possible correlation with stomach cancer in Japanese. Hum Genet 1992;90:62-64.
- 7. Pemble S, Schroeder KR, Spencer SR, Meyer DJ, Hallier E, Bolt HM, Ketterer B, Taylor JB. Human glutathione S-transferase theta (*GSTT1*): cDNA cloning and the characterization of a genetic polymorphism. Biochem J 1994;300:271-276.
- Kelada SN, Kardia SL, Walker AH, Wein AJ, Malkowicz SB, Rebbeck TR. The glutathione S-transferase-mu and -theta genotypes in the etiology of prostate cancer: genotype-environmental interaction with smoking. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2000;9:1329-1334.
- 9. Mohammadynejad P, Saadat I, Ghanizadeh A, Saadat M. Bipolar disorder and polymorphisms of glutathione S-transferases M1 (*GSTM1*) and T1 (*GSTT1*). Psychiatry Res 2011;186:144-146.
- 10. Saadat I, Saadat M. Glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 null genotypes and the risk of gastric and colorectal cancers. Cancer Lett 2001;169:21-26.
- 11. Cao DL, Ye DW, Dai B, Zhang HL, Shen YJ, Zhu Y, Zhu YP, Shi GH, Ma CG, Xiao WJ, Qin XJ, Lin GW. Association of glutathione S-transferase T1 and M1 polymorphisms with prostate cancer susceptibility in populations of Asian descent: a meta-analysis. Oncotarget 2015;6:35843-35850.
- 12. Tang J, Zhou Q, Zhao F, Wei F, Bai J, Xie Y, Huang Y. Association of glutathione S-transferase T1, M1 and P1 polymorphisms in the breast cancer risk: a metaanalysis in Asian population. Int J Clin Exp Med 2015;8:12430-12447.
- 13. Kim SK, Kang SW, Chung JH, Park HJ, Cho KB, Park MS. Genetic polymorphisms of glutathione-related enzymes (*GSTM1*, *GSTT1*, and *GSTP1*) and schizophrenia risk: A meta-analysis. Int J Mol Sci 2015;16:19602-19611.
- 14. Eslami S, Sahebkar A. Glutathione-S-transferase M1 and T1 null genotypes are associated with hypertension risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 12 studies. Curr Hypertens Rep 2014;16:432.
- 15. Saadat M, Saadat I, Saboori Z, Emad A. Combination of *CC16*, *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* polymorphisms is associated with asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;113:996-998.
- 16. Liang S, Wei X, Gong C, Wei J, Chen Z, Chen X, Wang Z, Deng J. Significant association between asthma risk and the *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* deletion polymorphisms: an updated meta-analysis of case-control studies. Respirology 2013;18:774-783.

- 17. Saadat M. Null genotypes of glutathione S-transferase M1 (*GSTM1*) and T1 (*GSTT1*) polymorphisms increased susceptibility to type 2 diabetes mellitus, a meta-analysis. Gene 2013;532:160-162.
- 18. Wilson MH, Grant PJ, Hardie LJ, Wild CP. Glutathione S-transferase M1 null genotype is associated with a decreased risk of myocardial infraction. FASEB J 2000; 14:791-796.
- 19. Juronen E, Tasa G, Uuskula M, Pooga M, Mikelsaar AV. Purification, characterization and tissue distribution of human class theta glutathione S-transferase T1-1. Biochem Mol Biol Int 1996;39:21-29.
- 20. Listowsky I, Rowe JD, Patskovsky YV, Tchaikovskaya T, Shintani N, Novikova E, Nieves E. Human testicular glutathione S-transferases: insights into tissue-specific expression of the diverse subunit classes. Chemico-Biol Interact 1998;111-112:103-112.
- 21. Saify K, Saadat M. Expression patterns of antioxidant genes in human SH-SY5Y cells after treatment with methadone. Psychiatry Res 2015;230:116-119.
- 22. Saify K, Saadat I, Saadat M. Down-regulation of antioxidant genes in human SH-SY5Y cells after treatment with morphine. Life Sci 2016;144:26-29.
- 23. Nakatome M, Miyaji A, Mochizuki K, Kishi Y, Isobe I, Matoba R. Association between the GST genetic polymorphisms and methamphetamine abusers in the Japanese population. Leg Med (Tokyo) 2009;11 Suppl 1:S468-470.
- 24. Koizumi H, Hashimoto K, Kumakiri C, Shimizu E, Sekine Y, Ozaki N, Inada T, Harano M, Komiyama T, Yamada M, Sora I, Ujike H, Takei N, Iyo M. Association between the glutathione S-transferase M1 gene deletion and female methamphetamine abusers. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2004;126B: 43-45.
- 25. Khalighinasab MR, Saify K, Saadat M. Association between *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* polymorphisms and susceptibility to methamphetamine dependence. Mol Biol Res Commun 2015;4:25-32.
- 26. Khalighinasab MR, Saify K, Saadat M. Association between null alleles of *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* and dependence to heroin and opium. Psychiatry Res 2015;228:977-978.
- 27. Ghazavi A, Mosayebi G, Solhi H, Rafiei M, Moazzeni SM. Serum markers of inflammation and oxidative stress in chronic opium (Taryak) smokers. Immunol Lett 2013;153:22-26.
- 28. Soykut B, Eken A, Erdem O, Akay C, Aydın A, Çetin MK, Dilbaz N. Oxidative stress enzyme status and frequency of micronuclei in heroin addicts in Turkey. Toxicol Mech Methods 2013;23:684-688.
- 29. Rafiee L, Saadat I, Saadat M. Glutathione S-transferase genetic polymorphisms (*GSTM1*, *GSTT1* and *GSTO2*) in three Iranian populations. Mole Biol Rep 2010;37: 155-158.
- 30. Saadat M. Distribution of *ACE* insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism in Iranian populations. Mol Biol Res Commun 2015;4:63-66.
- Newton CR (1995). Mutational analysis: known mutations, in: M.J. McPherson, D. Hames, G.R. Taylor (Eds.), PCR2. A Practical Approach, IRL Press, Oxford, pp. 219–222.